Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/530,890	VILLAGRASA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Estremsky	3677
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Gary Estremsky.	(3)	
(2) George Ayvazov.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 06 March 2009.		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>pending</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>na</u> ,		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Intentieur including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. If was a samed that Final Office action mailed 29/99 would be vacated in view of a Supplemental Office action (howevibl) in order to correct obvious defining errors malerable affecting complete reasonse by Applicance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE		
INTERVIEW, (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a roply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GRUPA IN ONE-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATE-MENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		